Hi, team,

Here's the results of the Work Plan priority-setting exercise. The first column of red/orange/yellow/ green cells give the total votes for a topic; the middle three are the leaders, team-members, and other supporters; the right column is the task size (S: small: listen to a quick staff report; M: **medium**: half a NC meeting and draft a letter; L: **large**: multiple meetings, special outreach sessions, create a report?)

We have the four required/expected tasks:

- revisit the process for Caucus election in Nov.; establish protocols, a small task in November,
- actually do the caucuses, a **medium** task in May,
- review Mayor's budget proposal and give feedback: a large task spanning Feb -April, and
- Develop the 2016-17 WP: a large task next June.

From our voting, the following came out on top:

- Policies on Police Body Cameras a large task
- Develop process for community input – **medium**,
- Illegal dumping and blight prevention a small task, and
- Refine and document the process by which NC develops a work product, a medium task.

The 8 tasks above are comprised of 3 large tasks and 3 medium ones: that should keep us fairly busy. They are spread across a number of categories:

Topics	Cat.							S/M/L?
Expected / Required revisit the process for Caucus election		D	Dominod		N	~		6
do the caucuses	нк		Required Required		Nov May			S M
review Mayor's budget proposal and	пк		equire	u	141	ay		IVI
give feedback	Bdgt	E	Expected		Feb - Apr			L
2016-17 Work Plan	нк	R	Required		June			L
		Total Lead		Lead	Team Do			
Budget						Budget		
Budget / rezoning, incentive review	Bdgt	3		0	3	0		м
Code Enforcement			C			emen	t	
Illegal dumping and blight prevention	CEnf	5	Ĩ	1	1	3	Ē	S
Graffiti abatement								
	CEnf	3		0	1	2		S
Permit Parking?								
-	CEnf	1		0	1	0		м
Riparian Corr & Bird-Safe Bldgs	CEnf	1		0	0	1		S
Allow 2nd'ry Units	CEnf	ο		0	0	0		s
Community Engagement		U			ty Engagem		en	_
How to improve public involvement in					• y =			
the Capital Improvement Program (CIP)								
process	CEng	1		0	1	0		L
Develop process for community input	CE no	6		2	2	1		
Crowd-sourcing of private surveillance	CEng	6		2	3	1		M
camera data	CEng	3		1	1	1		s
Homelessness	CEng	1		0	0	1		s
	CEng	1		0	0	1		3
Data-driven decision process: show us								
the data!								
Invite the City Auditor to attend and	CEng	3		0	0	3		M
provide comment.	CEng	2		0	0	2		s
track changes in Envision 2040 update								
	CEng	1		0	0	1		S
Council's Outreach Policy 6-30 & how it's being implemented	CEng	2		1	1	0		м
n a seme impremented	CENS	-		1	1			141
Outside Agency Policies in SJ	CEng	3		1	0	2		М
House-keeping			House-keeping					
Poline and document the survey of								
Refine and document the process by which NC develops a work product.	нк	4		0	4	0		м
Public Safety			Public Safety					
Policies on Police Body Cameras	PubS	9		0	2	7		L
Illegal Fireworks Ord	PubS	3		0	0	3		S
Transportation		Transportation						
Traffic calming	Trnsp	0		0	0	0		S

Budget, Code Enforcement, Community Engagement, and Public Safety, along with Housekeeping.

We may be able to squeeze in a few more tasks, since folks are willing to work on them and they are not large. (We can ask the other Commissioners for help.)

- Budget / rezoning, incentive review: **medium**, and three of us have said we'd work on it;
- Graffiti abatement: a small task and three volunteers;
- Crowd-sourcing of private surveillance camera data: a small task that someone has agreed to lead;
- Invite the City Auditor to attend and provide comment: a small task with 2 votes;
- Council's Outreach Policy 6-30 & how it's being implemented: a **medium** task with only 2 votes, but someone has volunteered to lead;
- Outside Agency Policies in SJ: a medium task that someone has agreed to lead; and
- the Illegal Fireworks Ordinance: a small task with 3 votes.

The following topics fell off the list, with no votes:

- Policy to Allow 2nd'ry Units, and
- Traffic calming

I would <u>not</u> recommend the following: they are not small tasks and no one stepped up to take the lead:

- Permit Parking (medium task, 1 vote); and
- How to improve public involvement in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) a large task with 1 vote.

The following tasks each got only one vote without a commitment to lead, but they are all "small" efforts, perhaps needing nothing more than a half-hour briefing some time during the year to show Commission interest:

- Riparian Corridor Development Setback Policy & Bird-Safe Buildings;
- Homelessness; and
- track changes in Envision 2040 update

And then there is:

• "Data-driven decision process: show us the data" – a **medium** task with only 3 votes that none of us have volunteered to lead or even work on. I suggest that it might be combined with the high priority "Refine and document the NC process" task above.

That's the 23 topics that we discussed last week. We now know what the ad hoc committee considers to be the top priorities, which are too much work or not of that much interest, and which ones we might be able to squeeze in.

I will prepare a report or presentation on the above information for the Commission as a whole. After going thru the above discussion, I recommend we ask the other Commissioners for their input, to see if they're willing to help with some of the mid-tier medium-effort tasks: whether to push them into the "to do" list or off to the "too much" pile.

Thank you for taking the survey, and thank you to those who have volunteered to lead or work on a task – I've keep the list of names! I think we'll have a busy but productive year!

Please let me know if you have any questions, suggestions, or corrections to this report. Thanks! ~Larry, July 14, 2015 (revised 7/26/15)